UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/559,611 | 04/19/2006 | Gilbert Ossbahr | 079680-079682 | 1507 |
| 26288 ALBIHNS STC | 7590 02/11/200 OCKHOLM AB | EXAMINER | | |
| BOX 5581, LIN | | AMIRI, NAHID | | |
| SE-114 85 STOCKHOLM; SWEDENn STOCKHOLM, | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SWEDEN | | | 3679 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/11/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|
| OSSBAHR, GILBERT | | |
| Art Unit | | |
| 3679 | | |
| | OSSBAHR, GILBER | |

| | NAHID AMIRI | 3679 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>30 January 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | - | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | n. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | Called |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | • | lucing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1) | | oted claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | * ** | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | l be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>1-3, 5 and 6</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5 and 6</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Daniel P. Stodola/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3679 | | | |
| • • | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1, lines 9-13, the limitation of "a second pair of fixing plates mountable on opposite sides of said second beam and fixable along said second beam by friction maintained by tightening bolts, each one of the fixing plates comprising an inner surface adapted to face said second beam, and an outer surface, which is adapted to face away from said second beam", raises a new issue requring further consideration and/ or search.